



W04: SUSTAINABLE AND AFFORDABLE: THE BUSINESS CASE FOR THE MOSAIC CENTRE AND IPD

MARCH 15 & 16, 2016

EDMONTON EXPO CENTRE, NORTHLANDS www.buildexedmonton.com

Wednesday, March 16 8:30AM-10:30AM





Speakers: Dennis Cuku, Co-Owner, The Mosaic Centre Jennifer Hancock, Director of Innovation, Chandos Construction

EDMONTON'S PREMIER CONFERENCE & TRADESHOW FOR CONSTRUCTION - PROPERTY MANAGEMENT - INTERIOR DESIGN - ARCHITECTURE - RENOVATION - REAL ESTATE



IN EXCHANGE FOR 120 MINUTES OF YOUR TIME



WHATTOEXPECT

* START WITH WHY

- * WHAT WE DELIVERED WHAT IS THE MOSAIC CENTRE?
- * NET-ZERO RECIPE THE TECHNICAL & NERDY
- * HOW WE DID IT INTEGRATED PROJECT DELIVERY
- * **PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING HOW WE MEASURE UP**
- * WHERE WE FAILED CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT
- * QUESTION PERIOD



Something About ... JENNFERHANCOCK

LEARNER

TEACHER

LEAN NINJA

SUSTAINABILITY CHAMPION

AGENT FOR CHANGE



Something About ... DENNSCUKU

NERD	
ENGINEER	
ENTREPRENEUR	
VISIONARY	
DISDAIN FOR STATUS QUO	









CAPITALISM NEED NOT COME AT THE EXPENSE OF HUMAN VALUES, ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY OR PERSONAL HAPPINESS





2012: NEED MORE SPACE





BEAUTIFUL

SUSTAINABLE

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN



NO NG LINE SUSTAINABIL HEALTH BEAUTY PRAGMAT TFΔ LEGACY **NET-ZERO LIVING BUILDING** LEED PLATINUM



INNOVATION AVE.

FIRST OF IT'S KIND NORE TO FOLLOW





COLLISION

COMMUNITY SPACE



FINANCIAL SENSIBILITY

NORMALI<mark>S</mark>BROKEN

YOU FORGET YOUR FIRST



WHND D MEDD D MEDD IP

USING

SEVEN GENERATIONS

DFFERENTFEELING OF BENGINA HAY BUILDING



WITH FRESHAR ...



AND DAYLIGHT.

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN

NOW PROVE THAT TO A BANKER.

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN



RESEARCH EXERCISE

QUICK

CHOW MUCH MORE PRODUCTIVE WOULD BE IF WORKED HERE:

CK



... VS. HER

QUICK

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN



HOW MUCH MORE PRODUCTIVE HILL PROBLEM OF BELE YOU WORKED HILL PROBLEM OF BELE YOU WORKED

ICK

25%




S 195,000 INCREASE PER YEAR

CK

¿ HOW MUCH LESS EMPLOYEE TURNOVER WOULD (HAVE IF JU MANAGED:

CK











CK NORMAL SBROKEN

9% OF 130 PEOPLE IS ₽ NORMALISBROKEN

CK

CK PLOYE **AVERAGE COS** PFR NORMALISBROKEN

MPACT TO BOTTON AT 10% NORMAL SBROKEN

CK

1 PRO IJ REUU NORMALISBROKEN

K

S1,440,000 POSITIVE IMPACT TO BOTTOM LINE ON 5 YEAR NPV

CK

SHAREDECONOMY







LEVEL 1

LEVEL2/3

LEVEL4/5

0

-10m ⊕





TRADITIONAL OFFICE:

- + KITCHENETTE + MEETING ROOM(S)
- + M/W WASHROOM
- + RECEPTIONIST
- + WAITING
- + HALLWAYS/SERVER/STORAGE

TRADITIONAL OFFICE:

- + KITCHENETTE
- + MEETING ROOM(S)
- + M/W WASHROOM
- + RECEPTIONIST
- + WAITING
- + HALLWAYS/SERVER/STORAGE

= 6 WORKERS (167/WORKER)



OFFICE @ MOSAIC:

TAKE ALL THAT OUT & SHARE IT! + COMMON GROSS UP (1.3)



OFFICE @ MOSAIC:

TAKE ALL THAT OUT & SHARE IT!+ COMMON GROSS UP (1.3)

= 11 WORKERS (91/WORKER)



HEAD TO HEAD: TRADITIONAL

15% REDUCTION: COST/WORKER

* NO TI'S REQUIRED * Collaboration * "Pixared" space







NET-ZERO REA ICES **100**% 18 **PUBLIC SPA** CE NORMALISBROKEN



SENSE OF PLACE

MARKET RATE COMPARISON:

PROPERTY	NNN LEASE (\$/ft2)	OP COSTS (\$/ft2)	TOTAL (\$/ft2)
SOUTH EDMONTON 2016	\$19 - \$21	\$9 - \$11	\$28 - \$32
MOSAIC CENTRE	\$ 29	\$14.50	\$43.50

+30% HIGHER TOTAL LEASE RATE 100% LEASED*



OPERATING COST COMPARISON:

	STACEY		PREMIUM		ACTUAL or EST	
	(\$	/sf)		\$/sf)		(\$)
NNUAL OPERATING FEES	1		-	3		
1.0 Admin & Bank Charges			\$	2 <u>-</u> 2 1	\$	750
2.0 Accounting			Ş	-	Ş	2,500
3.0 Legal			Ş		Ş	1,500
4.0 Reporting & packages			Ş	-	Ş	1,200
5.0 Property Taxes			Ş		Ş	116,964
6.0 Marketing & promotion			Ş	-	Ş	3,000
7.0 Management Fees (5%)			\$		\$	50,000
8.0 Insurance			Ş		Ş	14,850
5.0 81/15					Ş	5,000
ILITIES				3		
10.0 Power (connection)			\$	-	\$	23,424
11.0 Water			\$		\$	6.00
12.0 mss. Change & Hotspots						3,600
13.0 Telephone line for elevator					\$	1,044
14.0 Main phoen line for building			18		\$	1,044
15.0 Elevator monitoring			\$	-	\$	840
16.0 Security monitoring			\$	-	\$	840
AINTENANG						
17.0 Exterior maintenance	\$	0.08	\$	0.04	\$	3,000
18.0 Exterior staining of cedar	1				\$	1,800
19.0 Interior maintenance	\$	0.08	\$	0.04	\$	3,600
2. Englimaintenance	\$	0.02	\$	-	ċ	000
21.0 Green wall	2.4		÷	-	\$	4,500
22.0 Doors locks and windows	\$	0.02	\$	-	\$	600
23.0 Plumbing	\$	0.02	\$		\$	600
24.0 Geothermal system	\$	0.02	\$		\$	60
25.0 HVAC system filters & maint	\$	0.08	\$		\$	2,40
26.0 Electrical	\$	0.02	\$	-	\$	600
27.0 Elevator service	\$	0.05	\$	-	\$	1,35
28.0 Fire protection	\$	0.03	\$	-	\$	900
29.0 Floor maintanance	\$	0.10	\$	-	\$	3,00
30.0 Signage	\$	0.02	\$	-	\$	600
NDSCAPE		-	-	-	-	
31.0 Grounds and landscaping	\$	0.10	\$	0.05	\$	4,500
32.0 Site litter and maintenance			\$	-	\$	1
33.0 Snow removal			\$	-	\$	10,500
34.0 Parking lot	\$	0.02	\$		\$	600
NITORIAL			-	1		
35.0 Cleaning common spaces (commercial)	\$	0.36	\$		\$	25,000
36.0 Janitorial supplies	\$	0.13	\$	0.07	\$	5,85
37.0 Waste removal			¢	-	\$	4,200
		-	-			
38.0 Additional envelope upgrades & Solar PV lease			\$		\$	90,07
	1		<u></u>			
		IOIAL			7	(or)
					\$	11.35
	-					



Do It Yourself Guide ...

DESIGNINTENT




































































OPERATIONABUNDANCE



HOW WE DID IT

The vision behind the Mosaic Centre was to prove that a sustainable building could be both beautiful and affordable. In the vein of inspiring others to follow suit, we offer a variety of free resources to help get you started:

CONSTRUCTION

INTEGRATED PROJECT DELIVERY (IPD)

THE TEAM

Construction Drawings Documentary Video IPD Blog Posts



SUSPENDEDFLOORSECTION





TYPICALWALLSECTION



FOR CONSCIOUS COMMUNITY AND COMMERCE



TYPICAL ROOFSECTION



2-ply modified bitumen membrane (MBM) roof system Cap sheet Base sheet 5 mm support panel 254 mm (min. 127 mm) EPS insulation sloped to drain 127 mm EPS insulation Air/vapour barrier 13 mm plywood sheathing 130 mm glulam decking



CONTRACTDOWNLOAD



About v Rentals Tours Vision v Construction v Media v Contact Q

Access the Mosaic Centre IPD Contract



A large part of our success to date can be attributed to using an Integrated Project Delivery (IPD) approach. Throughout the building process, we've stayed true to our values of openness and transparency and, accordingly, we are excited to share the blueprint to the process – our IPD contract – in its entirety.

Are you interested in developing a similar building? Or are you thinking of approaching your next project with our IPD model? Tell us a little bit about yourself and we will automatically redirect you to a download page where you will be given **full access to the IPD contract**.

Our intent in collecting your contact information is not to sign you up for any email spam or mailing lists – that's not the way we roll. However, we would like the opportunity to hear where you're coming from and potentially connect with you in the future.

Follow Us







PAYBACKCALCULATIONS

ENERGY INFLATION % per year Gas Inflation

Electric Inflation

4%

4%

Feet of BH	Cost per Item	Cost	\$/ft ²
7360	\$ 16.60	\$ 122,176	
\$ -		\$ -	
\$ -		\$ -	
\$ -	1	\$ -	\$ -
	Feet of BH 7360 \$ - \$ - \$ -	Feet of BH Cost per Item 7360 \$ 16.60 \$ - - \$ - - \$ - 1	Feet of BH Cost per Item Cost 7360 \$ 16.60 \$ 122,176 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$

TOTAL COST	ft ²	\$/ft	Cost		
Geo Cost	30000	\$ 4.07	\$ 122,176		
Traditional Cost	30000	\$ 2.00	\$ 60,000	5	62,176

Voor	Electrical	Energy	Gas	Energy Cost	Ma	Cost	т	intal Cost	Lifecycle Cost		
rear	kWh	\$/kWh	GJ	\$/GJ		\$		\$			
0	0		0						\$	60,000	
1	38302	\$ 0.15	130	\$ 5	\$	6,000	\$	12,395	\$	72,395	
2	38302	\$ 0.16	130	\$ 5	\$	6,180	\$	12,831	\$	85,226	
3	38302	\$ 0.16	130	\$ 5	\$	6,365	\$	13,283	\$	98,509	
4	38302	\$ 0.17	130	\$ 6	\$	6,556	\$	13,750	\$	112,259	
5	38302	\$ 0.18	130	\$ 6	\$	6,753	\$	14,235	\$	126,494	
6	38302	\$ 0.18	130	\$ 6	\$	6,956	\$	14,737	\$	141,230	
7	38302	\$ 0.19	130	\$ 6	\$	7,164	\$	15,256	\$	156,487	
8	38302	\$ 0.20	130	\$ 7	\$	7,379	\$	15,795	\$	172,282	
9	38302	\$ 0.21	130	\$ 7	\$	7,601	\$	16,353	\$	188,635	
10	38302	\$ 0.21	130	\$ 7	\$	7,829	\$	16,931	\$	205,566	
11	38302	\$ 0.22	130	\$ 7	\$	8,063	\$	17,530	\$	223,096	
12	38302	\$ 0.23	130	\$ 8	\$	8,305	\$	18,151	\$	241,247	
13	38302	\$ 0.24	130	\$ 8	\$	8,555	\$	18,794	\$	260,040	
14	38302	\$ 0.25	130	\$ 8	\$	8,811	\$	19,460	\$	279,500	
15	38302	\$ 0.26	130	\$ 9	\$	9,076	\$	20,150	\$	299,650	
16	38302	\$ 0.27	130	\$ 9	\$	9,348	\$	20,865	\$	320,516	
17	38302	\$ 0.28	130	\$ 9	\$	9,628	\$	21,607	\$	342,122	
18	38302	\$ 0.29	130	\$ 10	\$	9,917	\$	22,374	\$	364,497	
19	38302	\$ 0.30	130	\$ 10	\$	10,215	\$	23,170	\$	387,667	
20	38302	\$ 0.32	130	\$ 11	Ś	10 521	Ś	23 995	Ś	411 662	

	ENERG	COST	Cost															
	Natur	al Gas Cost	\$ 5.00	per	GJ	*Inc	ludes Delive	ry Cl	narges									
	Elec	tricity Cost	\$ 0.1500	per	kWh	*Inc	dudes Delive	ry Cl	narges									
	MAINTENANCE		ft ²		\$/ft		Cost				Energy	Usa	age			2		
	Geo N	30000	\$	0.10	\$	\$ 3,000			T	raditional	Geo		Energy Savings		5			
	Tradition	30000	\$ 0.20		\$ 6,000					74413 kWh		26874 kWh		64%				
-	_	_			_	1	00% Geothe	rma	1							Т		
Year	Electrical Energy	ectrical Energy nergy Cost Gas		Energy Cost Ga		E	nergy Cost	M	aintenance Cost	То	tal Cost	Life	ecycle Cost		Savings		Cashflow Difference	Ī
	kWh	\$/kWh	GJ		\$/GJ		\$		\$		\$		\$	\$		Τ		
0	0		0			1				\$	122,176			-\$	62,176.00	Т		
1	26874	\$ 0.15	0	\$	5	\$	3,000	\$	7,031	\$	129,207	\$	5,364	-\$	45,562	Τ		
2	26874	\$ 0.16	0	\$	5	\$	3,090	\$	7,282	\$	136,489	\$	5,549	-\$	23,701	Τ		
3	26874	\$ 0.16	0	\$	5	\$	3,183	\$	7,543	\$	144,032	\$	5,740	-\$	10,901	T		
4	26874	\$ 0.17	0	\$	6	\$	3,278	\$	7,813	\$	151,845	\$	5,938	-\$	2,476	T		
5	26874	\$ 0.18	0	\$	б	\$	3,377	\$	8,092	\$	159,937	\$	6,142	\$	3,920	T		
6	26874	\$ 0.18	0	\$	6	\$	3,478	\$	8,382	\$	168,319	\$	6,354	\$	9,461	T		
7	26874	\$ 0.19	0	\$	6	\$	3,582	\$	8,683	\$	177,002	\$	6,574	\$	14,734	ŀ		

Accelerated Capital Cost Allowance?

Year	Energy	Cost	Gas Energy	Cost		Cost	T	otal Cost	Lifecycle Cost			Savings		Difference	ACC Credit	
	kWh	\$/kWh	GJ	\$/GJ	1	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1	\$
0	0		0		1				\$	122,176			-\$	62,176.00		
1	26874	\$ 0.15	0	\$ 5	\$	3,000	\$	7,031	\$	129,207	\$	5,364	-\$	45,562	\$	11,250
2	26874	\$ 0.16	0	\$ 5	\$	3,090	\$	7,282	\$	136,489	\$	5,549	-\$	23,701	\$	16,313
3	26874	\$ 0.16	0	\$ 5	\$	3,183	\$	7,543	\$	144,032	\$	5,740	-\$	10,901	\$	7,059
4	26874	\$ 0.17	0	\$ 6	\$	3,278	\$	7,813	\$	151,845	\$	5,938	-\$	2,476	\$	2,488
5	26874	\$ 0.18	0	\$ 6	\$	3,377	\$	8,092	\$	159,937	\$	6,142	\$	3,920	\$	254
6	26874	\$ 0.18	0	\$ 6	\$	3,478	\$	8,382	\$	168,319	\$	6,354	\$	9,461	-\$	813
7	26874	\$ 0.19	0	\$ 6	\$	3,582	\$	8,683	\$	177,002	\$	6,574	\$	14,734	-\$	1,300
8	26874	\$ 0.20	0	\$ 7	\$	3,690	\$	8,994	\$	185,996	\$	6,801	\$	20,036	-\$	1,499
9	26874	\$ 0.21	0	\$ 7	\$	3,800	\$	9,317	\$	195,314	\$	7,036	\$	25,516	-\$	1,556
10	26874	\$ 0.21	0	\$ 7	\$	3,914	\$	9,652	\$	204,965	\$	7,279	\$	31,252	-\$	1,544
11	26874	\$ 0.22	0	\$ 7	\$	4,032	\$	9,999	\$	214,964	\$	7,531	\$	38,783		
12	26874	\$ 0.23	0	\$ 8	\$	4,153	\$	10,358	\$	225,323	\$	7,792	\$	46,576	1	1.0
13	26874	\$ 0.24	0	\$ 8	\$	4,277	\$	10,731	\$	236,054	\$	8,062	\$	54,638	1.	
14	26874	\$ 0.25	0	\$ 8	\$	4,406	\$	11,118	\$	247,171	\$	8,342	\$	62,980	1	
15	26874	\$ 0.26	0	\$ 9	\$	4,538	\$	11,518	\$	258,690	\$	8,632	\$	71,612		
16	26874	\$ 0.27	0	\$ 9	\$	4,674	\$	11,934	\$	270,624	\$	8,932	\$	80,544	1	
17	26874	\$ 0.28	0	\$ 9	\$	4,814	\$	12,364	\$	282,988	\$	9,242	\$	89,786	1	
18	26874	\$ 0.29	0	\$ 10	\$	4,959	\$	12,811	\$	295,799	\$	9,564	\$	99,350		
19	26874	\$ 0.30	0	\$ 10	Ś	5 107	Ś	13 274	S	309 072	Ś	9 897	Ś	109 246	1	

Systems Description:

Boiler/Air-Cooled Chiller with VRV Distribution Tempeff Dual Core Ventilation Unit

100% Geothermal with VRV Distribution Tempeff Dual Core Ventilation Unit

0 \$

26874 \$ 0.32

19 20

Notes: - Increased structural and roof penetration costs from extra rooftop units with traditional system are not taken into account.

- Replacement cost of boiler/ACC system at year 18 is not included. Same goes for geo system since geo system wouldn't be replaced until after year 20 - (year 23 for Heat Pumps, 100+ years for boreholes)

5,261 \$ 13,753 \$ 322,826

10,242 \$

119,488

- Maintenance cost estimates taken from ASHRAE. In this case they are very conservative as VRV distribution is the same- comparison is boreholes vs Boiler/ACC, boreholes basically require no Maintenance, but some cost has been included to be conservative.

- All other building components are identical for both cases (Lighting/Envelope/Equipment/Schedules etc...)

11 \$





MODELLINGDATA

Room	Room Name	Area (m²)	Heating Setpoint (°C)	Cooling Setpoint (°C)	Lighting Gains	Task Lighting	Schedule Start	Schedule End	Lighting Time On	Weekend (Default: No)	Max Occupancy (Realistic)	Equipment Loads	Special Equipment	Notes (Applies to Equipment)
	Main Floor			-							(Aconsul)			General Note: Heat gain from plug loads based on Ashrae - not always 100%
1	CS01 - Vestibule	94 m²	10.0 °C	-	5.0 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr	No	0			
2	CS03 - Vestibule	13.4 m ²	10.0 °C	-	5.0 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr	No	0			
3	CS02 - Atrium	127.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr	No	0		2600 W	Elevator (2500W 0.5h) - no heat to space LED TV (100W) 8h. Green wall not incl
4	RT01 - Restaurant	189 0 m ²	21.0 °C	23.0 °C	10.0 W/m ²		7 AM	10 PM	15 Hr	Yes	30		6480 W	Max Load - different schedules for each piece of equipment, too many to list
5	ES08 - Corridor	19.2 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	10.4 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	0			
6	WE01 - Multi Purpose	110.4 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m ²		9 AM	2 PM	5 Hr	No	25		550 W	Computer (250W) 8h plus sound system (300W) 4h
7	DC02 - Storage - Niche	10.5 m ²	60°C	20.0 0	5.0 W/m ²		e / un		2 Hr	No	0			sampline (Essen) ont processing system (essen) in
8	RT01 - Child Care	216 0 m ²	21.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.0 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr	No	30		2100 W	Computer (250W) 8hr. Fridge (250W) 30% 24h. Dishwasher (500W) 1.5h. Toys (1
9	SE01 - Corridor	35.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	4.3 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	0			
10	SE10 - Server	15.3 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	3.7 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0		3000 W	24h
11	WE03 - Lockers - Washrooms	45.5 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.1 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	0			
12	WE03 - Reception	14.5 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.4 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr.	No	1	6.1 W/m ²		
13	ES02 - Corridor	23.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	4.3 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	0			
14	SE08 - Recycle	20.7 m²	60 °C	-	5.7 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0			
15	SE07 - Electrical	20.2 m ²	6.0 °C		4.2 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0		250 W	24h Estimates - Do we have these loads?
16	SE06 - Mechanical	24.0 m ²	6.0 °C		4.7 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0		250 W	24h Estimates - Do we have these loads?
17	SE04-05 - Site Safety	49 0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	2.4 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0			
18	SE02 - Bike Storage	25.0 m²	60°C	-	4.4 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0			
19	SE09 - Telecom	5.6 m²	60°C		4.8 W/m ²				2 Hr	No	0			
	Floor 2									No				
20	2 - Atrium	135.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m ²		7 AM	6 PM	11 Hr	No	0			
21	2 - BH - Stairs	14.8 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m ²				5 Hr	No	0			
22	2 - BH01 - Reception	18.6 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.4 W/m ²		8 AM	6 PM	10 Hr	No	1	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
23	2 - BH02-03 - Corridors	58.5 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	6.5 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	0			
24	2 - BH13 - Meeting Room	17.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	10.3 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	6		0 W 0	
25	2 - BH14 - Meeting Room	26.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	10.3 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	14		500 W	As per Dennis's sheet - 4-5h
26	2 - BH15-16 - Offices	35.8 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	4	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
27	2 - BH17 - Office	20.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	2	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
28	2 - BH18-19 - Office	52.9 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	5	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
29	2 - BH20 - Office	18.9 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	2	10.0 W/m*		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
30	2 - BH21 - Office	27.1 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	3	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
31	2 - BH22 - Meeting Room	26.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	10.3 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	14		100 W	LED TV -4-5h
32	2 - BH22-32 - Interior Offices	85.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²	8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	6	10.0 W/m ²		Equipment loads taken from Dennis's sheet as well as ASHRAE data
33	2 - BH28 - Printer Room	8.1 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	9.4 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	0		1600 W	1000W + 600W printers - 20% for 10h (2h equiv.), standby at 3%
34	2 - SH01 - Dining Area	97.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	4.7 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	40		6950 W	Fridge (450W - 30% 24h), 3xMicrowave (1000W - 0.5h), 3x Coffee (1000W - 2h),
35	2 - SH05 - Lounge	64.9 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	3.1 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	15		400 W	2 Tvs (100 W each - 1 hour) - assuming LED TV, Bar Fridge (200W - 30% 24h)
36	2 - SH06 - Games Room	32.7 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	4.6 W/m ²				5 Hr	No	6		600 W	2 Game consoles (200W each - 2 hours), 2 LED Tvs (100W each - 2 hours)
37	2 - SH07 - Open Offices	114.9 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	2.2 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	14	10.0 W/m ²		
38	2 - SH11 - WC Jan Hall Floor 3	40.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.6 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	0			
39	3 - OC - Stairs	13.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m²				5 Hr	No	0			
40	3 - OC02-05 - Corridors	91.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.1 W/m ²		8 AM	5 PM	9 Hr	No	õ			
41	3 - OC06-10 - Open Offices	115 0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²		5.1.11	6 Hr	No	18	6 1 W/m=		
42	3 - OC11 - Open Offices	20.0 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	3	6 1 W/m ²		
43	3 - OC12-13 - Open Offices	63.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	9	6.1 W/m ²		
44	3 - OC14 - Open Office	26.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	3	6.1 W/m ²		
45	3 - OC15-17 - Open Offices	78 0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	14	6.1 W/m ²		
46	3 - OC18 - Hot Desks	23.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C		1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	2	6 1 W/m ²		
47	3 - OC19 - Meeting Room	14.9 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.4 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	8	S	100 W	LED TV -4-5h
48	3 - OC20 - Meeting Room	14.9 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	7.4 W/m ²				4 Hr	No	8		100 W	LED TV -4-5h
49	3 - OC21-26 - Interior Offices	51 0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	3.2 W/m²	1.4 W/m ²			6 Hr	No	10	6.1 W/m ²		
50	3 - OC22 - Printer Room	19.3 m²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.8 W/m2		8 AM	5.PM	9 Hr	No	0		900W	600W + 300W Printers - 20% for 10h (2h equiv.) standby at 3%
51	3 - SE13-14 - Storage	8.7 m ²	6.0 °C		7.6 W/m ²		- / 411		2 Hr	No	0			seen seen in the seen in the ten open if the seen of the
52	3 - Stairs to 4	18.0 m ²	20.0 °C	23.0 °C	5.0 W/m ²				5 Hr	No	õ			
		10.0 11	U	~~··· · · ·					~ L.H.					





DETAILDRAWINGS





BIMMODELS







REALSTORIES



A Drink from the Fire Hose of Information

🧿 March 20, 2014 🔹 Dennis Cuku, Building Owner 🗅 Construction, Educate Me, Planning, Process, The Building

When the team agreed to capture stories and lessons learned from the Mosaic Centre project, I had no idea what we were committing to. A project of firsts in many respects; I underestimated the amount of learning required to position the team for success. The first net-zero commercial building in Alberta, the first LEED Platinum attempt for commercial north of Calgary, the first Living Building Challenge Petal Certification attempt in Alberta and the first IPD contract delivery for anyone on our team. We were creating design decision logic at an alarming rate, all the while attempting to record our "breadcrumbs".







HUMAN Emotion





COSTING CRISIS

POSTED BY SHAFRAAZ KABA, ARCHITECT, MANASC ISAAC ARCHITECTS

Estimating construction costs very early in the project is something of a hedge-your-bets game. At the schematic design phase, there is just enough information to show the design intent, but you can never fully reveal or outline the nuances of detail or quality you're after. Chandos did what they could with the sketch-up model and plans we had and came back with a number that initially appeared reasonable. Once we started to comb through, there were several things that seemed goofy. I could not believe the glulam wood structure would be more expensive than steel. It took a separate meeting directly with the glulam supplier, <u>our st</u>ructural engineer

and Chandos to figure out we were looking at the wrong amount of building area; he There are other items that will be quite interesting to resolve over time. Dennis also saving option for the level of finish on the concrete floors. It may turn out that it is pla estimated cost. ion for a costas possible,

and left unpolished, sort of like our own office space. That could save \$100,000. Or perhaps concrete polishing will be another company that is under the Mosaic banner. We will see!




GOOD

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN

ACHIEVING SUCCESS TOGETHER

POSTED BY CLIFTON LOFTHAUG, PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER SYSTEM DESIGNER AND INSTALLER, GREAT CANADIAN SOLAR

When we were first brought in to this project, it didn't take long to notice that this was unlike any other enterprise we had been involved in. The walls between the various trades, engineers, architects and owners were nowhere to be found. If this project were a house, it would definitely be open concept.

By the end of the initial meetings, we had worked through problems we had encountered with past projects. The engineers (roofing, siding, electrical and structural) and contractors all had a clear for and to make each other successful.

This was very different from a typical construction project. Usually, you are given a set of drawings, go to the site, eventually meet the other trades, hope no issues arise and try to stay out of each other's way.



UGLY

NORMALISBROKEN



Dennis Cuku Entrepreneur I Business Development I Engineer @ Oil Country Engineering

Edit post

in f 🗾

View stats

Settled Dust ... and a New Nickname

Mar 5, 2015 226 views 🔂 12 Likes 📮 0 Comments

I've had some pretty strong feedback over the past weeks that **D** en leaving some folks hanging with the drama that surrounded my last blog called Hammer **Time** – a post where I captured one of the darkest days for The Mosaic Centre

- **1. SITE SELECTION**
- 2. PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS
- 3. BUILDING ORIENTATION





NET-ZERORECIPE

- 1. SITE SELECTION
- 2. PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS
- 3. BUILDING ORIENTATION
- 4. BASELINE SCREENING
- 5. HVAC SYSTEM SCREENING
- 6. PRELIMINARY ENERGY MODEL



"STARTING POINT" LOADS



- 1. SITE SELECTION
- 2. PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS
- 3. BUILDING ORIENTATION
- 4. **BASELINE SCREENING**
- 5. HVAC SYSTEM SCREENING
- 6. PRELIMINARY ENERGY MODEL
- 7. OPTIMIZE ENVELOPE
- 8. REDUCE BASE LOADS
- 9. DETAIL ARCHITECTURE
- **10. FINAL ENERGY MODEL**





NET-ZERORECIPE

1. SITE SELECTION

- **SOLAR ACCESS**
- U WIND
- **GEOTHERMAL**











NET-ZERORECIPE

2. PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

- **SPACE PLANNING**
- OWNERS REQUIREMENTS
- **PICTURES TO COMMUNICATE THE DREAM**
- ✓ SHARED OFFICE & MEETING ROOMS + DAYCARE, RESTAURANT & FITNESS
- ✓ COLLISION SPACE + ATRIUM + GREEN WALL
- ✓ 200+ YEAR STRUCTURE
- $\checkmark \quad \text{INCREASED THERMAL COMFORT WINDOW}$
- ✓ LEED PLATINUM CERTIFICATION
- \checkmark LIVING BUILDING PETAL CERTIFICATION
- ✓ NO PV IN PARKING LOT + ROOFTOP PATIO

NORMALISBROKEN

NET-ZERORECIPE

SUCCESS LOOKS LIKE THIS ...



FAST FORWARD

Two years from now when the media is scrambling to get interviews with the design team members of the newly-minted, highly-regarded Mosaic Centre, we will be able to say that **SUCCESS LOOKS LIKE THIS**...

- * We have proven there is A BETTER WAY to do sustainable commercial construction ... BY DESIGN
- Our team processes and our final product are INSPIRING positive change in the commercial building industry in Canada;
 - What we have done is easily **REPEATABLE**
 - We have proven that sustainable construction can be EFFECTIVE, BEAUTIFUL & AFFORDABLE
 - We have advanced the base knowledge in the industry
- The design & construction team has **SHARED LESSONS** learned in a way that has **ADVANCED** the **KNOWLEDGE** of sustainable building practices in Canada
- Every member of the team is PROUD to have been a part of the experience. We LEARNED, we COLLABORATED and we had FUN!
- The occupants of the building have **HEALTHY, COMFORTABLE, TOTALLY COOL SPACES** that have resulted in higher **PRODUCTIVITY & PRIDE** in the place they work
- The Mosaic Centre owners are **THRILLED** to own one of the most **BEAUTIFUL**, **SUSTAINABLE**, **HIGH PERFORMANCE** yet **SIMPLE** buildings in Canada...completed **ON TIME & ON BUDGET**.



NET-ZERORECIPE

3. BUILDING ORIENTATION

- MAXIMIZE PASSIVE SOLAR & DAYLIGHTING
- AVOID NEARBY SHADING
- ✓ EAST-WEST ORIENTATION
- ✓ "HIDE" PARKING LOT AND HAVE LARGE PUBLIC PLAZA
- \checkmark RESTAURANT & CHILDCARE ON STREET (SOUTH) SIDE
- ✓ MAXIMIZE PARKING (125+ STALLS)









NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN

NET-ZERORECIPE

4. BASELINE SCREENING

- **STRATEGIES TO CONSIDER**
- PRECEDENCE USE EXISTING KNOWLEDGE BASE
- ✓ RECTANGULAR VS. SQUARE
- \checkmark WIND FOR RENEWABLES NO GO
- ✓ NET-ZERO H₂O TOO COSTLY
- ✓ PARKADE COST PROHIBITIVE
- ✓ GLAZING % STARTING POINT
- ✓ GLASS, WALL AND ROOF R-VALUES

NET-ZERORECIPE



BULLITT CENTER - SEATTLE



NET-ZERORECIPE



STEPPES BUILDING - EDMONTON



NET-ZERORECIPE



CIRS @ UBC - VANCOUVER



NET-ZERORECIPE



NUOFFICE - MUNICH, GERMANY



NET-ZERORECIPE

5. HVAC SYSTEM SCREENING

- HIGH COEFFICIENTS OF PERFORMANCE (COP'S)
- **COST SENSITIVITY VS. PERFORMANCE VS. COST OF SOLAR**
- MAINTENANCE OF SYSTEMS
- \checkmark NO EXOTIC EQUIPMENT ALL PROVEN TECHNOLOGIES
- ✓ 5 YEAR NPV APROACH
- ✓ ENABLE NET ZERO
- ✓ MEET COMFORT LEVELS WITH HIGH THERMAL STABILITY











NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN

NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN

NET-ZERORECIPE

6. PRELIMINARY ENERGY MODEL

- **TEST BUILDING SHAPE AND PROGRAM**
- **TEST DIFFERENT ENVELOPE/GLAZING COMBINATIONS**
- DETERMINE STARTING POINT BUILDING LOADS
- ✓ SHITTY REVISION ZERO
- \checkmark LEARN HOW THE BUILDING BEHAVES



NET-ZERORECIPE







SAVARYN DRIVE SW

NET-ZERORECIPE

Glazing Design #	Size Description			Post-
	6m Storeys	5m Storeys	4m Storeys	Performance Description
1	100% width 4.5m tall	100% width 3.5m tall	100% width 2.5m tall	Duxton R16, SHGC-0.37, Vt=0.54
2	100% width 4.5m tall	100% width 3.5m tall	100% width 2.5m tall	Duxton R20, SHGC-0.15, Vt=0,34
3	100% width 4.0m tall	100% width 3.0m tall	100% width 2.0m tall	Duxton R20, SHGC-0.15, Vt=0.34
4	100% width 3.5m tall	100% width 2.5m tall	100% width 1.5m tall	Duxton R20, SHGC-0.15, Vt=0.34
5	100% width 2.5m tall	100% width 1.5m tall	100% width	Duxton R20, SHGC-0.15, Vt=0.34
6	100% width 2.5m tall	100% width 1.5m tall	100% width 1.0m tall	Duxton R15, SHGC-0.37, Vt=0.54
7	66% width 2.5m tall	66% width 1.5m tall	66% width 1.0m tall	Duxton R16, SHGC-0.37, Vt=0.54
8	100% width 2.5m tall	100% width 1.5m tall	100% width 1.0m tall	Duxton R8.3, SHGC-0.53, Vt=0.68
9	66% width 2.5m tall	66% width 1.5m tall	66% width 1.0m tall	Duxton R8.3, SHGC-0.53, Vt=0.68

NORMALISBROKEN





















NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN

NET-ZERORECIPE

7. OPTIMIZE ENVELOPE

- **DAYLIGHT STUDY**
- □ WALL/ROOF CONSTRUCTION & R-VALUE
- GLAZING SIZE AND R-VALUE

I\$ VS. PERFORMANCE] [\$ VS. PERFORMANCE]

- ✓ REMOVE BASE BUILDING LIGHTS
- ✓ OCCUPANCY & DAYLIGHT SENSORS
- ✓ AIR-TIGHTNESS
- ✓ ELIMINATE THERMAL BRIDGING







NET-ZERORECIPE



WALL SYSTEM



NET-ZERORECIPE



ROOF SYSTEM


NET-ZERORECIPE



GLAZING LAYOUT



NET-ZERORECIPE

8. REDUCE BASE LOADS

- COMPUTERS / PRINTERS / MISC. OFFICE
- MINIMIZE EQUIPMENT & LOADS
- **USE TIMERS AND SENSORS**
- ✓ LED MONITOR SWITCH OUT BEGAN IN 2014
- ✓ LOW-POWER CPU'S & LAPTOPS
- ✓ COFFEE MAKER & INSTANT HOT
- ✓ TASK LIGHTING
- ✓ AUDIO VISUAL

NORMALISBROKEN













NET-ZERORECIPE

9. DETAIL ARCHITECTURE

- **U** TURN THE SHOE BOX INTO A MASTERPIECE
- ASSESS EACH ARCHITECTURAL FEATURE
- ✓ BEAUTY + FUNCTIONALITY
- ✓ ENERGY LOSSES
- ✓ PRESERVE PROJECT DESIGN PHILOSOPHY
- ✓ LANDSCAPING



NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN





NET-ZERORECIPE

10. FINAL ENERGY MODEL

- **BASELINE FOR PERFORMANCE**
- □ INCLUDE ALL FEATURES AND THE LOSSES
- **FINAL PROGRAM TIMES AND USAGE**
- ✓ MONITOR EACH CIRCUIT
- \checkmark Equipment and zone usage data
- ✓ TEST AND MEASURE







NET-ZERORECIPE



NORMALISBROKEN







Design. Bid. Build Construction Management Design Build Integrated Project Delivery





TRADITIONAL







TRADITIONAL







SIFFERENGEN

IPD KEY FEATURES (AIA)

 Joint project control by owner and key designers and builders SIFE END

- A multi-party agreement or equal interlocking agreements
- Limited liability among owner and key designers and builders.^{[1][2]}



THREE BIG DIFFERENCES



CONTRACT

- Risk Reward
- Cost Breakdown

TRADE PARTNERS

- Target Value Design
- Forecasting

LEAN

- Value Discovery
- Coordination



THE DEAL



- Building Cost: \$11 M
- Change Orders: 0-10%
- Total Building Cost: \$11M to 12 M







CHANDOS

Direct Costs include overhead







Direct Costs include overhead



BIFFERENGRAY



Direct Costs include overhead





Direct Costs include overhead

THREE BIG DIFFERENCES



CONTRACT

- Risk Reward
- Cost Breakdown

TRADE PARTNERS

- Target Value Design
- Forecasting

LEAN

- Value Discovery
- Coordination



ipd | key ideas



Target Value Design Process

The process of establishing early financial targets for the project, and then <u>designing to an</u> <u>associated detailed estimate rather than</u> <u>estimating a detailed design</u>. Iterative in nature.







The estimator should be one of the key leaders during the target value design (TVD) stage



TARGET VALUE DESIGN

om DPR Constr







scan

THREE BIG DIFFERENCES



CONTRACT

- Risk Reward
- Cost Breakdown

TRADE PARTNERS

- Target Value Design
- Forecasting

LEAN

- Value Discovery
- Coordination



LEAN



Provide customer value, through streamlined processes, practicing continuous improvement



LEAN: VALUE ADD VERSUS NON-VALUE ADD



- Ask yourself 'What is the customer willing to pay for?'
- It is estimated that up to 90% of our work is non-value add







Defects

Efforts caused by rework, scrap, and incorrect information.



Overproduction

Production that is more than needed or before it is needed.



Wasted time waiting for the next step in a process.



Non-Utilized Talent

Underutilizing people's talents, skills, & knowledge.



Transportation

Unnecessary movements of products & materials.



Inventory

Excess products and materials not being processed.

Motion

Unnecessary movements by people (e.g., walking). \mathbf{z}

Extra-Processing

More work or higher quality than is required by the customer.



BEFERENGSY







LEAN CULTURE

Mosaic 2 Second Lean Videos










LAST PLANNER SYSTEM

- Collaborative
- Commitment based
- Allows for early detection and removal of constraints
- Analyzes reasons why commitments were not met
- Gives trade foreman the authority to say no to a commitment when they can't do it





LAST PLANNER SYSTEM

- Pull Schedule
- 6 Week Look Ahead
- Weekly Work plan w/ PPC
- Daily Stand-up Meetings





PPC



- Percent Planned Complete
- Typical construction PPC is 54%



DECISION MATRIX

BEFERENGELY

MOSAIC CENTRE for CONSCIOUS COMMUNITY & COMMERCE VALUES MATRIX

Project values will be used to guide the team in decision making. Use this matrix on any major decision document that grades the decision on its affect (**red**, yellow, green) on the overall project values. Where there is a conflict between values, the document should discuss how the conflict will be resolved. If a decision doesn't affect a value, the team should question the necessity of the action.

ITEM UNDER CONSIDERATION

RE: INACTIVE (CUSTOM MADE) PV MODULES ON FACADE

IS OPTION A) BETTER THAN B) DUE TO AESTHETIC CONSISTENCY, DESPITE THE HIGHER COST ?

OPTION A)

made of same material as the rest of facade PVs - \$19,200

NOTES

OPTION B) made of tempered glass and printed foil that imitates the rest of facade PVs - \$4.300 + ALU FRAMES (PRICE TBC)

NOTE: ALL PRICES ARE SUPPLY ONLY.

	AFFECT of DECISION					
	POS	NEU	NEG	N/A		
SUSTAINABILITY						
BEAUTY	POS					
HEALTH		NEU				
PRAGMATIC		NEU				
TEAMWORK						
LEGACY						



DECISION MADE (+ ANY BACKUP)

DECIDED TO PREOCEED WITH OPTION A)



What is Lean design?



















cost effectiveness / performance to budget efficiency productivity (construction) environmental (sustainable) impact embodied energy energy use maintenance costs improved enterprise outcomes worker satisfaction productivity (users) health fit to use flexibility ROI context / community beauty

BEFERINGEN





















design

long term value for the owner





COMPONENT	% - SITE EXCLUDED				
	INDUSTRY	MOSAIC	COMPARABLE X	TRADITIONAL	
SUBSTRUCTURE	4.4%	3.6%	8.2%	10.9%	
SUPERSTRUCTURE	17.3%	22.4%	24.7%	15.5%	
EXTERIOR					
ENCLOSURES	15.9%	20.2%	20.6%	19.1%	
ROOFING	1.6%	2.8%	4.9%	2.4%	
INTERIORS	20.5%	20.1%	8.1%	20.2%	
CONVEYING	4.3%	1.4%	1.5%	2.9%	
MECHANICAL	19.1%	17.0%	15.9%	18.4%	
ELECTRICAL	16.9%	12.4%	16.1%	10.6%	
SUBTOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
GENERAL CONDITIONS, FEES, OVERHEADS, PROFITS	25.0%	24.3%	22.0%	22.5%	

MOSAIC VS PROJECT X



- Without net zero, Mosaic Centre is 12% less expensive
- With net-zero, Mosaic Centre is 2% less expensive





Lessons Learned







significantly better better same worse significantly worse



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover[®]

IT'S ABOUT...

- SIFF EN UNS. Early engagement of key participants; none of us is as smart as all of us.
- Shared values align interest.
- It all starts with culture. Get that right first, then start using tools like last planner and BIM.



FORECASTING

- Forecasting is critical to IPD success
 - Tracking burn rate of time and resources
 - Need to track both design and construction
 - Needs to be done monthly, at minimum
 - This is not easy!





CONTINUOUS ESTIMATING



- The estimate needs to be updated throughout design and construction
- Estimator needs to stay involved for longer



TARGET VALUE DESIGN

- Ensure there is adequate detail put into the validation study.
 - Ask yourself, 'Can I go back to this document for clarity/answers later?'
- Is the team referencing the validation study during design and construction?





INFORMATION TRACKING



- Just because IPD is collaborative, does not mean the decision making, record keeping and changes should be done informally
- Clear processes should reflect value to the project and the team



CULTURE

- Establish a strong culture and maintain it
- Respect
- Accountability
- Trust





LEAN



- Just-In-Time delivery (JIT)
- 2 Second Lean
- Pull Planning was used but not to the rigour of Last Planner System



BIM



- We had a 3-D model but didn't use it on site for coordination
- We didn't use the model for pre-fabrication



TRUST

IIII

telehool

NORMALISBROKEN








	ASC C	CHANDOS
MECHANICAL	LANDSCAPE	
-		
I CLADK		
THE INTERNING	PICEA	
NODELING LEED /L	вс сом	MISSIONING
		DESIGNS
Ebrigahy	1440	
ELECTRICAL	GLAZING	CLADDING
River City	Ferguson	METALA-CON
REFRIGERATION/ F	V SYSTEMS	INTERIOR PARTITIONS/ ACOUSTICS
PARAGON Our Name Stands for Decisions	EATCANADIAN	BAYIEK
	ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL ELECTRICAL	

RED DEER SCHOOLS – NEXT GEN











IT CAN BE DONE



HUMANITY IS GOING TO BOURD ASUBSTANTIAL IS KING IF IT IS GOING TO SURVIVE.

A.EINSTEIN

NORMAL<mark>IS</mark>BROKEN

A IS A MARCHAN

Get in touch WAYMOREHERE





CHANDOS

SOMETHING YOU HEARD TODAY COMPELLING YOU TO FILLOW MORE? WE'VE GONE AHEAD AND MADE ALL OF THE OPEN-SOURCE AND HAVE SERVED THEM UP IN A VARIE TY OF WAYS ... DEPENDING LESSINKLE MINED AND ON HOW YOU DICEST YOUR INFORMATION. GO ON _ DON'T BE SHY!

WWW.THEMOSAICCENTRE.CA 0000

OR LEARN MORE ABOUT OL COUNTRY ENGINEERING AND THEIR LEAN JOURNE Y AT WWW.OCENG.CA.

